

**Official Minutes of
MARION COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

August 13, 2025

CALL TO ORDER:

The Marion County Board of County Commissioners (BCC) met in a special session in Commission Chambers at 9:03 a.m. on Wednesday, August 13, 2025 at the Marion County Governmental Complex located in Ocala, Florida.

INTRODUCTION OF PUBLIC HEARING BY CHAIRMAN KATHY BRYANT

Chairman Bryant advised that the public hearing was scheduled this morning to consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County Land Development Code (LDC).

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of our Country.

ROLL CALL

Upon roll call the following members were present: Chairman Kathy Bryant, District 2; Vice-Chairman Carl Zalak, III, District 4; Commissioner Craig Curry, District 1; Commissioner Matthew McClain, District 3; and Commissioner Michelle Stone, District 5. Also present were County Attorney Matthew G. Minter, County Administrator Mounir Bouyounes and Assistant County Administrator (ACA) Tracy Straub.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

Deputy Clerk Lewter advised that there are nine (9) Proofs of Publication to be presented for this afternoon's meeting.

The first Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510604 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 2, Division 21, Section 2.21.1 Applicability.

The second Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510674 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 2, Division 22, Section 2.22.2 Driveway Connection.

The third Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510395 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 6, Division 13, Section 6.13.3 Types of Stormwater Management Facilities.

The fourth Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510437 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 6, Division 13, Section 6.13.4 Types of Stormwater Quantity Criteria.

The fifth Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510468 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 6, Division 13, Section 6.13.5 Flood Plain and Protection.

August 13, 2025

The sixth Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510410 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 6, Division 13, Section 6.13.8 Stormwater Conveyance Criteria.

The seventh Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510428 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 6, Division 13, Section 6.13.9 Grading Criteria.

The eighth Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510538 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 7, Division 3, Section 7.3.1 Transportation and Stormwater.

The ninth Proof of Publication is Legal ad number 11510713 entitled, "Notice of Public Hearing" published in the Star Banner newspaper on July 28, 2025. The Notice states the Board will consider an Ordinance amending the Marion County LDC relating to Article 7, Division 3, Section 7.3.2 Utilities.

The Deputy Clerk was in receipt of a 95 page Agenda Packet to follow along with the PowerPoint presentation.

STAFF PRESENTATION

1. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 2, Division 21 - Major Site Plan Section 2.21.1 Applicability

County Engineer Steven Cohoon, Office of the County Engineer (OCE) presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 2, Division 21 - Major Site Plan Section 2.21.1 Applicability. The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comments and provide direction.

County Engineer Steven Cohoon, OCE, advised that he is accompanied today by Land Development Manager Aaron Pool and Stormwater Engineer Jason Cambre. He commented on the time the Department has spent on this internally as well as the hours of one-on-ones with the Commissioners. Mr. Cohoon stated the Department has met with the Land Development Review Committee (LDRC) and received consensus relating to the changes before the Board today.

Mr. Cohoon advised that there is a Section of the LDC the Department is seeking additional clarification on after the one-on-ones as it relates to all of the changes.

Stormwater Engineer Jason Cambre, OCE, addressed Article 2, Division 21, Applicability, noting there have been new categories added to exempt single family residences (SFR) and farms from the major site plan. He stated there have also been exemptions given for

SFR and farms 10 acres and greater from the major site plan. Mr. Cambre advised that per Florida Statute (FS) 604.50 the Department has exempt improvements related to bona fide agricultural uses, noting those are completely exempt from all LDC excluding the Code implementing Flood Plain Management regulations. He stated Article 2, Division 22, Stand Alone Permit, includes the addition of an approval procedure that contemplates the roadside drainage when applying for a driveway connection permit. Mr. Cambre clarified that an additional inspection is now required when a culvert is required for a driveway connection. Article 6, Division 13, Stormwater Management, had language added that allows berm widths to be reduced down to 5 feet from 12 feet in all cases including public, private, commercial and residential. He stated the Department has proposed to remove the language requiring landscape buffer along County roadway frontage. Article 6, Division 13, Stormwater Management, Stormwater Quantity Criteria, has an addition relating to the 100 year/24 hour frequency duration criteria for both open and closed basins. Mr. Cambre advised that this was previously 100 year/24 hour for closed basins and 25 year/24 hour for open basins. Relating to Article 6, Division 13, Stormwater Management, Flood Plain and Protection, the Department now has proposed language adding Flood Plain volume and compensation to the County flood prone data in addition to Federal Emergency Management agency (FEMA) special flood hazard areas. There has also been language added that requires letters of map change in certain cases when buildings and habitable areas are being built in flood plain areas on mass grading plans and major site plans. Article 6, Division 13, Stormwater Conveyance Criteria, a new category was added for lane spread for turn lanes and revised some language that removed the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) zone 7 intensity duration frequency curves and added the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Atlas 14 data. He stated the FDOT data is no longer actively being published by the organization. Mr. Cambre addressed the addition of language relating to the easement widths for pipes that allow some flexibility, noting previously there was a blanket 20 foot easement required for pipe. There is now a table that goes from 10 feet and ranges to 25 plus feet depending on the size and depth of the pipe. He advised that Article 6, Division 13, Section 6.13.9, relating to Grading Criteria has had language added for lots without grading plans, in particular lots 75 feet in width or less now have grading criteria that is required by the County and must be proven with a lot grade survey. Mr. Cambre stated this section adds language for base flood elevation (BFE), how it is determined, and how finished floor elevation (FFE) is determined based off of BFE. Article 7, Division 3, relating to Transportation and Stormwater has a revision relating to TS034 so that it is compliant with the new easement widths and requirements in 6.13.4. The Department has added new details to TS039 and TS040 for pipe trench installation for stormwater. He advised that previously there was a utilities detail for this; however, OCE felt a more appropriate detail needed to be added, which contemplated more types of pipes that have different methods of installation. Mr. Cambre commented on changes to UT102 and UT103, noting the change clarifies that these utilities embedding details are for Utilities use only.

Chairman Bryant requested the Chairman of the LDRC, David Tillman come forward if there were any comments he would like to make. She clarified that Item 3 relating to stormwater management facilities, the buffers and the berms are directly related only to the County's stormwater facilities (retention ponds). She stated she did not want the public to think the County will not require buffering in any kind of development whether it is residential or commercial. Chairman Bryant commented on Item 7 regarding the lot

August 13, 2025

grading issue, noting the Board is trying to address issues in some subdivisions that were platted many years ago and have drainage problems.

LDRC Chairman David Tillman, SE 16th Avenue, advised that the majority of the changes are clarification or addition to the language granting things that waivers are requested for on a repetitive basis. He commented on cleanup language and clarification that made the County match some of the Water Management District (WMD) criteria. Mr. Tillman stated there was some additional leniency given in some of the stormwater criteria where there had been zero discharge allowed, it was pushed to 25 percent (%). He advised that some are slightly more restrictive; however, it is cleanup to make sure things are done right. Mr. Tillman addressed the addition relating to the flood prone areas, which is something that has been done for years but is now being added to the Code. He stated the grading criteria for lots 75 feet or less were presented to the Marion County Building Industry Association (MCBIA) to gauge how they felt about it, noting it is very specific. Mr. Tillman advised that it is limited to those lots that are 75 feet or less and are located in old existing subdivisions. He stated the number of lots that get developed and meet that criteria are very small, noting almost everything is 80 feet or above. Mr. Tillman opined that this will probably bump up against Senate Bill (SB) 180 due to there being new criteria all together requiring certain things to be done; however, it is a necessity. He advised that this is guidance criteria more than anything. It does not say an individual cannot do it, nor does it limit anyone, it is just providing the guidelines for how it can be done. Mr. Tillman stated the argument against SB 180 is that this is something giving guidance on how to do something properly that did not exist before.

Chairman Bryant questioned why 75 feet was chosen, noting she is looking at a subdivision that is a known problem area and all of those lots are wider. Mr. Tillman stated he did not choose 75 feet, noting that was what was originally established in the language brought to the LDRC. He clarified that the LDRC did not disagree with the language. Chairman Bryant advised that between now and the next public hearing there should be another conversation about maybe bumping that recommendation up to 85 feet wide.

Mr. Bouyounes stated it was staff that came up with the 75 feet.

Mr. Cohoon advised that some of it correlates to the R-4 (Mixed Residential) zoning, noting it is the minimum lot width an individual can have. He commented on the appropriate amount of grade tie in of a home.

Mr. Tillman stated it is also based off of experience of what has been seen relating to lots that have negatively impacted neighbors.

In response to Chairman Bryant, Mr. Cohoon stated staff would review the matter and advise whether it is being addressed.

Mr. Bouyounes commented on the Rainbow Park subdivision, noting those lots are not addressed by this due to the lot sizes being larger than 75 feet wide.

Chairman Bryant stated if this policy would not be beneficial to that area, she agrees in continuing down the current path. She commented on the issues in that area and an increase in complaints.

Mr. Tillman advised that staff could identify the subdivisions where those problems exist rather than expanding the criteria to other areas. He commented on the significant additional development costs, which will affect everything in Marion Oaks and Silver Springs Shores (SSS).

Mr. Bouyounes advised that staff can be selective and target those problem areas.

Mr. Tillman stated when looking at Marion Oaks or SSS, there are not extreme slopes or differences in elevation across those lots so there will not likely be the same grading

problems that may exist in other subdivisions. He opined that there will be a large public outcry if this criterion is added to where it impacts them all.

Commissioner Zalak advised that the Stormwater team has done a good job over the years targeting the problem areas in SSS, buying a few lots and tying them together.

Mr. Cohoon stated there is a Section of the LDC where staff may be requesting additional clarification. He advised that some of the nuances in the changes are benefitting the Community Rating System (CRS), which aids citizens that are required to purchase flood insurance in the County by bringing those costs down. Mr. Cohoon stated the better the County's rating is, the lower flood insurance becomes.

Mr. Cohoon addressed Section 6.13.3(D)(4) relating to types of stormwater management facilities, noting upon joining the County he did not see that a lot of this was done historically. He advised that he looked through a sample of the approximate 2,500 drainage retention areas (DRAs). Mr. Cohoon stated some of the DRAs may have been constructed prior to the implementation of this Code. He commented on feedback received via the one-on-one process that led to his request for additional clarification. Mr. Cohoon stated he went and sampled a DRA in the County through this process to illustrate what has been done historically and what something may look like if the Code were followed, including the cost component. The sampling relates to an approximate 4.4 acre DRA off of SE 92nd Loop. The area is currently mowed 5 times per year and there are sinkholes/depressions that open up, and the Department repairs them as needed. He referred to a slide as seen on the overhead screens and advised that if the County were following the Code for this particular DRA it would require roughly 36 trees along the frontage, as well as planting behind the sidewalks and 5x20 foot beds spaced approximately 20 feet apart. Mr. Cohoon stated OCE worked with the Parks and Recreation Department to come up with some assumed costs including the original installation to make shrubbery and other things last, irrigation, and the upkeep component for OCE. He advised that the estimates will likely be approximately \$67,000.00 to have a Code compliant DRA on SE 92nd Loop for this 4.4 acre site. Mr. Cohoon stated the Department has come up with alternatives short of striking the language completely from the Code. He advised that a medium intensity DRA would have 1 tree for every 100 feet and they need to be at least 15 feet from each end with a minimum of 1 at the midpoint; additional trees may be installed or grouped arrangements. The Department looked at a 5 foot deep screening layer behind the trees in an effort to build depth with shade trees at the extremities and the middle and ground cover that comes up allowing views of the DRA whether it be dry or wet. Mr. Cohoon stated there is still the ease of maintenance components, the screening of the stormwater structures and the curvilinear form along the frontage of the road only instead of all around the DRA as the current Code is written. For this particular DRA there would be approximately 9 shade trees in lieu of the 36, roughly 2,250 square feet of plantings behind the sidewalks and bed space of 5X12 feet rather than 5X20 feet. The Code compliant DRA is estimated at roughly \$67,000.00, and this one is approximately \$64,000.00. He addressed the low intensity DRA, which includes 1 tree within 15 feet of each end with 1 at the midpoint. Mr. Cohoon advised that this allows for additional trees to be installed or grouped arrangements. He stated 100 linear feet or right-of-way (ROW) is equivalent to 100 square feet of shrubs and/or ground cover. There is still the screening component, maintenance component, curvilinear linature along the frontage of the roadway. He stated the DRA will contain 9 trees over 900 feet, a significant reduction in the plantings behind the sidewalks and bed space of 5x10 feet with an approximate 40 foot spacing between. The cost for this scenario is

roughly \$33,000.00 and still requires upkeep, some of the required original irrigation to ensure it lasts. Mr. Cohoon advised that the Department has contemplated language should any of the 3 scenarios be chosen, allowing the County Engineer to waive any of these specific sections of Code, specifically if there is a public infrastructure project and it has to do with acquisition. He commented on being conscience of whether the County needs to purchase more property to obtain the curvilinear form and how that impacts the overall efforts and ROW acquisition from a cost perspective. Mr. Cohoon stated sometimes the costs go up significantly in a 0.10 acre scenario when negotiating. He commented on site-specific constraints, noting if there is a DRA location purchased today the Department is maximizing that to its full extent. The Department is reducing berm widths and doing everything it can to try to fit in the stormwater capacity into the one location it has today. He advised that to give the County Engineer some ability to make a decision, some of the shrubbery/tree requirements need to be struck or eliminated completely just to be in compliance and not have to attempt to acquire additional properties. Mr. Cohoon provided examples of wet and dry DRAs without ground cover screening where some of the shade trees are still visible throughout.

In response to Chairman Bryant, Mr. Cohoon stated a few of the examples may be from Sumter County/The Villages.

Mr. Cohoon advised that OCE currently does not have in its Stormwater budget or its Transportation Maintenance (TM) Fund budget any availability to do some of the landscaping and upkeep components currently budgeted. He stated there are costs that would be part of the Department's future projects. Mr. Cohoon advised that there are approximately 2,500 DRAs today and it would not be feasible to go back and retrofit. He provided the cost estimates relating to an approximate 4.4 acre DRA in the case that any of the lesser opportunities/scenarios are desired.

Chairman Bryant questioned what is included in the annual maintenance relating to the low intensity scenario. Mr. Cohoon stated there will still be a lot of weed-eating around the shrubs, which need to be hedged and replaced from time to time.

Commissioner Zalak stated he would like to see a couple of examples and have one of the County's landscape architects overlay these examples possibly on the current DRA. He opined that the Board should allow the County Engineer the ability to fit stuff into certain situations; however, where it is wide open and there are opportunities, the County can make it look a little nicer. Commissioner Zalak commented on the widening project FDOT performed in Hawthorne, noting they utilized trees rather than shrubs. He stated it will look better over time and maybe there is a way to look at doing that more with crape myrtles instead of shrubs. Commissioner Zalak commented on looking at examples to see what works, noting FDOT is doing that already.

Chairman Bryant stated she spoke to Mr. Cohoon relating to possibly creating a recreational space within DRAs that are going to remain dry for the most part. She advised that she wants to see the Code written to where the County Engineer has the flexibility to do that but, is still complying with Code.

Commissioner Zalak stated Mr. Cohoon should be allowed to work with the team to identify opportunities and then when a road or expansion is being built the DRA can be done at the same time prior to handing over the maintenance to the Parks and Recreation Department who must be willing to take it over.

Mr. Bouyounes advised that it depends on what the Board has in mind even in those situations. He stated he looked at it as a passive recreation area where there could be a walking trail around the DRA, noting at Highway 475 and SW 80th Street there is a large

DRA that a trail can be placed around. Mr. Bouyounes advised that it should not be turned over to Parks and Recreation due to OCE being there mowing and taking care of the ROW.

In response to Commissioner Zalak, Chairman Bryant stated there will not be playground equipment onsite, there will possibly be a walking trail around it and a couple of parking spaces. She advised that it is another amenity for the community that is low maintenance and low cost. Chairman Bryant stated this will only be feasible in a few areas.

Mr. Bouyounes advised that from the first day the Codes were implemented they were never meant to be retroactive. He stated the 2,500 DRAs the County has throughout subdivisions are not meant to be retrofitted.

Mr. Cohoon advised that he received the direction he needed, and he is going to add, another low intensity that may only take into account some trees and some different types of trees, which will be a huge benefit from a maintenance perspective.

Mr. Tillman commented on SB 180, noting the LDRC is dealing with language now that completely violates the Bill. He requested direction from the Board relating to whether the LDRC should move forward with that language as if SB 180 does not exist and pass it along to the Board or not evaluate things that are known to be against SB 180.

Chairman Bryant stated the Board wants the LDRC to evaluate it and pass it on to the Board.

In response to Chairman Bryant, Mr. Tillman advised that there are significant restrictions being put on tree removals, requiring specimen tree replacement at a much higher rate; therefore, it is completely against SB 180.

Chairman Bryant opened the floor to public comment.

There being none, Chairman Bryant advised that public comment is now closed.

Commissioner Zalak stated the next public hearing will be September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m.

2. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 2, Division 22 - Stand Alone Permits Section 2.22.2 Driveway Connection

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 2, Division 22 - Stand Alone Permits Section 2.22.2 Driveway connection.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

3. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 6, Division 13 – Stormwater Management Section 6.13.3 Types of Stormwater Management Facilities

August 13, 2025

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 6, Division 13 - Stormwater Management Section 6.13.3 Types of stormwater management facilities.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

4. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 6, Division 13 – Stormwater Management Section 6.13.4 Stormwater Quantity Criteria

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 6, Division 13 - Stormwater Management Section 6.13.4 Stormwater quantity criteria.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

5. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Division 13 - Stormwater Management Article 6, Section 6.13.5 Flood Plain and Protection

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 6, Division 13 - Stormwater Management Section 6.13.5 Flood plain and protection.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.
This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

6. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 6, Division 13 – Stormwater Management Section 6.13.8 Stormwater Conveyance Criteria

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 6, Division 13 - Stormwater Management Section 6.13.8 Stormwater conveyance criteria.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

7. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 6, Division 13 – Stormwater Management Section 6.13.9 Grading Criteria

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 6, Division 13 - Stormwater Management Section 6.13.9 Grading criteria.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

8. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 7, Division 3 - Design Details Section 7.3.1 Transportation and Stormwater

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 7, Division 3 - Design Details Section 7.3.1 Transportation and Stormwater.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC

August 13, 2025

recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

9. Presentation and Consideration of Revisions to the Marion County Land Development Code Amendments Article 7, Division 3 - Design Details Section 7.3.2 Utilities

County Engineer Cohoon, OCE, presented the following recommendation:

Description/Background: Staff will present the attached proposed amendment of Land Development Code (LDC) Article 7, Division 3 - Design Details Section 7.3.2 Utilities.

The proposed LDC amendments were considered by the Land Development Regulation Commission (LDRC) in a public hearing held July 23, 2025. The LDRC recommended approval of these items and motioned to forward them to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

This is the first of two required public hearings. The second public hearing is scheduled for September 3, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the McPherson Governmental Campus Auditorium.

Budget/Impact: None.

Recommended Action: Take public comment and provide direction.

This matter was addressed with Agenda Item 1.

PUBLIC COMMENT: NONE

BOARD DISCUSSION AND CLOSING COMMENTS

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting thereupon adjourned at 9:42 a.m.

Kathy Bryant, Chairman

Attest:

Gregory C. Harrell, Clerk