#### TASK ORDER TO THE AGREEMENT

In accordance with the Civil/Site Engineering Agreement, approved by the Board of County Commissioners on June 6, 2023 (the "Agreement") for work within the scope of Solicitation 23Q-087-TO-43 Malauka Connector (PER Services), this Task Order to the Agreement (this "Amendment") is made and entered into between Guerra Development Corp whose address 2817 N.E. 3rd St., Ocala, FL 34470, and possessing FEIN# <u>59-2615012</u> ("CONTRACTOR") and Marion County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, 601 SE 25th Avenue, Ocala, FL, 34471 ("COUNTY").

# WITNESSETH

WHEREAS the parties wish to amend the Agreement as set forth below; and;

IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual covenants and conditions contained herein, the parties do hereby agree as follows:

- 1. This Amendment shall be deemed to amend and become a part of the Agreement in accordance with the original Solicitation and Agreement for Civil/Site Engineering under 23Q-087.
- 2. CONTRACTOR's services and performance will be in accordance with the Proposal, Exhibit A hereto. The total cost for the Project will not exceed One Hundred Thirty-Eight Thousand, Nine Hundred and Twenty Dollars (\$138,920). The Project shall commence on the date noted on the Notice to Proceed. All Work shall proceed in a timely manner without delays. **TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE.** All limitations of time set forth in the Contract Documents are of the essence of this Contractor. The Work may be presumed abandoned after ninety (90) days if CONTRACTOR terminates the Work without just cause or without proper notification to COUNTY, including the reason for termination, or fails to perform Work without just cause for ninety (90) consecutive days.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties have entered into this Amendment, as approved by the Marion County Board of County Commissioners, on the date of the last signature below.

ATTEST:	MARION COUNTY, A POLITICAL SUB- DIVISION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA				
GREGORY C. HARRELL, DATE	KATHY BRYANT	DATE			
MARION COUNTY CLERK OF COURT	CHAIRMAN				
FOR USE AND RELIANCE OF MARION	BCC APPROVED: August 5, 20	25			
COUNTY ONLY, APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY	23Q-087-TO-43 Malauka Connec				
MATTHEW G. MINTER, MARION COUNTY ATTORNEY					
WITNESS:	Guerra Development Corp				
SIGNATURE	BY:	DATE			
PRINTED NAME	PRINTED:				
WITNESS:	ITS: (TITLE)				
SIGNATURE					
PRINTED NAME					

# **Exhibit A of Standard Agreement between the Marion County Board of County Commissioners**

And

**Guerra Development Corporation 2817 NE 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, Ocala, FL 34470** 

# SCOPE OF SERVICES FOR PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING SERVICES

For

MALAUKA CONNECTOR
PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT
Marion County, Florida
June 2, 2025
Revised July 2, 2025

Guerra Development Corp.
(Consultant)
Juenz
By:
(Signature)
<u>Juan C. Guerra, PE – President</u>
(Print Name and Title)
Date: 07/02/2025
Date. 07/02/2023

# Table of Contents

PART I - PREA	MBLE	3
1.	DEFINITIONS	2
2.	PURPOSE	;
PART II - PREI	JMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT4	ļ
1.	GENERAL/PROJECT ADMINISTRATION	
2.	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL ANALYSIS AND REPORTING	1
4.	ENGINEERING ANALYSIS AND REPORTING	Ì
5.	CONCEPT PLANS5	,
6.	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT	,
PART III - SCH	EDULE7	1
	CELLANEOUS	

# PART I - PREAMBLE

#### 1. DEFINITIONS

PROJECT= Malauka Connector PER

COUNTY= Marion County

OCE= Marion County Office of the County Engineer

ENGINEER= Prime Consultant for the PROJECT, Guerra Development Corp. and/or his duly authorized

representative or sub-consultant.

PO= Purchase Order

PER= Preliminary Engineering Report
DRA= Drainage Retention Area

NTP= Notice to Proceed ROW= Right of Way

DEP= Department of Environmental Protection

WMD= Water Management District

FDOT= Florida Department of Transportation

BM= Survey Bench Mark

BOCC= Board of County Commissioners for Marion County, Florida

#### 2. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Exhibit is to describe the scope of work and the responsibilities of Guerra Development Corporation, hereinafter called the ENGINEER and Marion County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, Office of the County Engineer, hereinafter called the COUNTY, in connection with the completion of necessary Planning, Engineering, Studies, Public Involvement activities to prepare a Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) including presentation of Alternatives for the Malauka Connector PER, hereinafter called the PROJECT.

The PROJECT consists of providing a recommendation for a new connection to provide better access for the public as well as emergency vehicles.

The ENGINEER will provide a Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) in accordance with Marion County Standards, Marion County Land Development Code (LDC), the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) standards and applicable code requirements. The county engineer may wave specific requirements to suit the nature and scope of the project. The roadway will have a planned right-of-way to satisfy traffic flows, generally expected to be a 2-lane road with a 60-foot right-of-way (ROW). Alternate sections that meet COUNTY design criteria will be evaluated as a part of the PER. The proposed design speed will be 45 to 50 m.p.h. The PER is intended to culminate with approval of the alignment and typical section for the PROJECT by the Marion County Commission.

The ENGINEER will perform those engineering studies, designs and public involvement services, and technical reviews of the work associated with the development and preparation of the PER. The COUNTY will provide job specific information and/or functions as outlined in this agreement, hereinafter called the CONTRACT.

During the development of the PER, it will be necessary for the ENGINEER to have access to the proposed roadway corridor alignment for site evaluations, environmental and geotechnical observations, etc. The COUNTY will secure, to best extent possible, all necessary permissions, easements, agreements, etc. needed to allow access to the ENGINEER equal to the access that is available on a normal and typical roadway planning project.

As part of this agreement and scope of services The COUNTY will engage the ENGINEER to provide surveying, roadway design, permitting, right-of-way support services, and post-design services consistent with the preferred alternative identified in the PER under a separate contract.

### PART II - PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

#### 1. GENERAL/PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

The ENGINEER will prepare the PER. This work effort includes public involvement, engineering, archaeological and environmental records search necessary to complete the PER.

The project administration activities are based on an 8 month contract period following issuance of a purchase order by the COUNTY. The activities that will be undertaken include the following:

- A. *Project Setup*: the ENGINEER will establish project files, project work plan, initiate accounting system, and engage sub-consultants.
- B. *Project Schedule*: the ENGINEER will provide a schedule of calendar deadlines within 10 days of Notice to Proceed and will provide updates to the schedule through the life of the CONTRACT.
- C. Monthly Progress Meetings: the ENGINEER will meet with the COUNTY to review the progress of work and to conduct project reviews. This is limited to a total of 8 such meetings over the life of the CONTRACT.
- D. *Progress Reports and Invoices*: the ENGINEER will prepare a monthly progress report to be included with the monthly invoice.
- E. Adjacent Project Coordination: the ENGINEER will coordinate with the engineers or consultants working on any public works projects adjacent to the PROJECT.

#### 2. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

- A. Stakeholder Meetings: the ENGINEER will prepare for and attend up to 1 meeting with specific affected land owners and/or stakeholders. This would not include public meetings, BOCC workshops, etc. A preliminary list of stakeholders includes the residents potentially impacted by proposed ROW acquisition or traffic pattern changes. The actual list of stakeholders will be developed in conjunction with COUNTY staff.
- B. Neighborhood Meeting: No neighborhood meetings are included in this scope of services.
- C. Miscellaneous Meetings: The ENGINEER will prepare for and attend up to 1 formal meetings with the COUNTY and/or the COUNTY's Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) to present the recommendations at the conclusion of the project. BOCC Meeting to provide a presentation of the final recommendations within the PER for BOCC consideration and approval of the preferred alternative to move into the design phase of the project.

# 3. ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL ANALYSIS AND REPORTING

A. Analysis and Reports

ENGINEER will conduct a records search to ascertain flags indicating fatal flaws in the proposed alignment and/or DRAs. This tasks covers environmental, public records of contamination sites from DEP and cultural.

Should Marion County want to escalate the level of analysis and reporting, GDC will retain duly accredited subconsultant under a change order to this scope of services.

# 4. ENGINEERING ANALYSIS AND REPORTING

A. Design Traffic Analysis

The ENGINEER will research existing traffic data for the area impacted by the Project and utilize said data in the preparation of the designs and report. Because the purpose for the project is to provide an additional connector and not a traffic capacity improvement, detailed studies for forecasting are not part of this scope of services.

B. Access Management

The ENGINEER will prepare an access management plan that will address driveway locations throughout the corridor.

C. Drainage Analysis

The ENGINEER will perform preliminary drainage design in order to determine potential outfall locations and preliminary sizes (volume and area) of required detention and/or retention facilities for storm water treatment and attenuation. The location and size of potential detention/retention areas will be determined for all viable alternatives. Up to two pond alternatives per sub-basin will be identified using available topographic maps, property maps and visual examination of candidate sites.

The drainage analysis will include evaluation of existing pond locations to determine whether they have remaining capacity to accommodate any additional impervious area from the proposed improvements. Existing information on the ponds will be obtained from the COUNTY. Two soil borings will be taken at each existing pond location to support the analysis.

At the time of entering into this agreement, the exact number of potential DRA sites can't be known. The ENGINEER assumes that there will be up to 8 DRA sites which will be listed as potential DRAs servicing this corridor. The number of potential DRA sites impacts the amount of work related to Environmental, Cultural, Geotechnical and preliminary design. More DRA locations will result in additional fees not covered under this agreement.

The ENGINEER will prepare for and attend one meeting in person or via video conference with the St. John's River Water Management District to discuss the project.

The ENGINEER will prepare a Pond Siting Report for the project in accordance with WMD and COUNTY regulations as applicable. The Pond Siting Report will be included as an Appendix of the PER.

#### D. Geotechnical Evaluation

ENGINEER, will perform a visual inspection of the proposed DRAs, will collect available and published data regarding the soil characteristics of the proposed DRA sites to be used in the conceptual sizing of said DRAs for the purpose of identifying potential fatal flaws or to establish conceptual level estimates of probable cost.

#### E. Utility Coordination

The ENGINEER will coordinate with affected utility companies during development of the recommended build alternative in order to identify and minimize utility conflicts. The ENGINEER will provide the concept plans for the recommended build alternative to all utility companies within the corridor for markups of their existing utilities, planned utilities, and potential impacts. The COUNTY or individual utility companies will designate the existing utilities within the project limits. Based on the coordination with the utility companies along the project, the ENGINEER will prepare a summary of all utility impacts to existing and proposed utilities within the PER. Impacts to utilities will be evaluated in the concept plan development.

#### 5. CONCEPT PLANS

- A. Right-of Way Records Research and Field Verification
  - The ENGINEER will obtain information from the COUNTY Office of the County Engineer (OCE), COUNTY Property Appraiser's Office and the COUNTY Clerk of Courts to acquire record evidence of parcel ownership and COUNTY right-of-way within the project area.
  - 2. At the discretion of the ENGINEER, limited field verification will be conducted to validate found research data at representative locations for improvements, property limits and existing ground elevations.
  - 3. Segments of the corridor lacking record sets of plats or other right-of-way and property boundary information, will be surveyed to establish a property line base map of higher-reliability of property and right-of-way location.
  - 4. No field survey is included as part of this scope of services.

#### B. Existing Roadway Characteristics

The corridor study area will be reviewed in the field to evaluate the constraints and opportunities within the corridor. Issues to be reviewed include the following:

- Alignment and grades of existing roadways;
- 2. Access points along the corridors;

3. Proximity of structures, treatment plants, and other features.

#### C. Typical Section Analysis

The ENGINEER will develop up to 2 appropriate typical section alternatives for the project which may address long segments of the roadway as well as localized characteristics. The typical sections will include COUNTY and FDOT standard typical sections, and any typical sections that may result in minimizing right-of-way, and incorporating context sensitive solutions.

The ENGINEER will document design criteria to be used for developing conceptual plans. The Design Standards will be identified in accordance with current COUNTY and FDOT (as appropriate) design procedures, policies and standards. The COUNTY will approve the design criteria and typical sections prior to developing a cost estimate for alternative alignments.

#### D. Access Management

The ENGINEER will determine the access management standard to be applied to the project per applicable COUNTY and State standards. If needed at the discretion of the ENGINEER, the proposed access management plan will be presented as part of the public involvement process. The proposed access management plan will include recommendations for access management, if applicable, along the study/design corridor at pertinent locations. Property access and driveway locations are part of the access management task.

#### E. Alternatives Analysis

The ENGINEER will develop and evaluate alternative alignments for the proposed build typical section. The alternatives will include no less than two different alignment alternatives.

# F. Conceptual Layout Alternatives

The ENGINEER will develop a Computer Aided Design and Drafting (CADD) base map that includes existing characteristics as obtained from readily available information. The base map information shall be compatible for use on aerial photography used for public hearing presentations, corridor maps, and concept plans.

The ENGINEER will prepare alternative concept layouts for the viable alternatives to be utilized in the public involvement meetings and alternatives analysis. Up to two alternatives are assumed.

The deliverable for this work will be a Conceptual Alignment Map. All alignments will be shown on one map, which may consist of multiple plates to effectively cover the corridor study area.

# G. Recommended Alternative Concept Plans

The ENGINEER will prepare 11"X17" signed and sealed concept plans for the recommended alternative. The concept plans will depict horizontal geometry for the recommended alternative to approximately the 30% design level.

# H. Opinion of Probable Cost

The ENGINEER will prepare preliminary opinions of probable cost including right-of-way acquisition and construction costs for each design alternative. Estimation of damages will be conducted in cooperation between ENGINEER and the Marion County Right of Way Agent.

#### 6. PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

A PER will be prepared that will summarize the information developed in this phase of the project. This report will document the effort undertaken leading up to the selection of the preferred alignment and the development of the Conceptual Improvement Plans. The PER will be prepared in 11"X17" format and will include:

- 1. Summary of all engineering and environmental tasks
- Summary of public involvement activities
- 3. Summary of the advantages and disadvantages of each alternative
- 4. Summary of the estimated costs for each alternative

- 5. Conceptual plans for the Recommended Alternative
- 6. Review comments will be saved to be presented if requested

A Draft PER will be prepared for review by the COUNTY. Comments on the Draft PER will be incorporated into a final signed and sealed PER.

# PART III - SCHEDULE

The ENGINEER will undertake this work upon receipt of purchase order from Marion County. Work will be completed within 8 months from purchase order receipt.

#### 1. PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT

A detailed schedule in Microsoft Office and PDF format will be provided to the COUNTY by ENGINEER at the Kickoff Meeting.

### PART IV - MISCELLANEOUS

#### 1. GOVERNING REGULATIONS

The services performed by the ENGINEER will be in compliance with applicable COUNTY and FDOT standards and guidelines. The current edition, including updates, of the following references and guidelines will be used in the performance of this work.

- A. Florida Statutes
- B. Florida Administrative code
- C. Marion County Land Development Code
- D. Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways (FDOT Greenbook)
- E. FDOT Roadway Traffic and Design Standards
- F. FDOT Drainage Manual
- G. AASHTO's "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets"
- H. Florida Manual on Uniform Traffic Studies (MUTS)
- I. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)
- J. Highway Capacity Manual
- K. AASHTO Guide for Bicycle Facilities Design
- L. FDOT Quality /Level of Service Handbook
- M. FDOT Basis of Estimates

# 2. PROGRESS REPORTING

The ENGINEER will provide periodic e-mails and monthly written progress reports that describe the work performed on each task. Progress reports will be delivered to the COUNTY concurrently with the monthly invoice.

# 3. QUALITY CONTROL

The ENGINEER will be responsible for the professional quality, technical accuracy and coordination of surveys, designs, drawings, specifications and other services furnished by the ENGINEER under this CONTRACT.

JN 25-12	7				Maria Maria Maria			
MALAUKA CONNECTOR PER				GUERRA DE	EVELOPMENT CO	DRPORATION		
					RLY BREAKDOW			
REVISED JULY 2, 2025	PRINCIPAL	PROJECT	PROJECT	CADD	CADD		SUB	TOTALS
		MANAGER	ENGINEER	DESIGNER	TECHNICIAN	CLERICAL		
HOURLY RATE>	\$ 245.00	\$ 210.00	\$ 170.00	\$ 110.00	\$ 90.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 1.00	
	The state of the s	TASK DE	SCRIPTION					_
								<u> </u>
TASK 1 - GENERAL PROJECT ADMINISTRATION	4	8		6		16		34
	980	1,680	0	660	0	960	0	\$ 4,280
TASK 2 - PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	4	16		4		8		32
	980	3,360	0	440	0	480	0	\$ 5,260
TASK 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL	2	6	4				200000000000000000000000000000000000000	24
TASK 9 - ENVIRONMENTAL AND COLTURAL	490	1,260	680	660	0	360	0	\$ 3,450
	400	1,200	- 555	000	0	300	0	\$ 3,450
TASK 4 - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS & REPORTING								0
DESIGN TRAFFIC	1	6	2	4		2		15
	245	1,260	340	440	0	120	0	\$ 2,405
ACCESS MANACEMENT		_				Established	OSS TRANSPORTER	
ACCESS MANAGEMENT	980	1,680	1,360	880	0	340	•	\$ 5 140
	900	1,000	1,300	080	U	240	0	\$ 5,140
DRAINAGE ANALYSIS	2	32	40	40	32	4		150
	490	6,720	6,800	4,400	2,880	240	0	\$ 21,530
GEOTECHNICAL	2	4	6	8	4	2		26
	490	840	1,020	880	360	120	0	\$ 3,710
UTILITY COORDINATION			2000000			10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 /		
OTILITY COORDINATION	245	840	680	0	0	6 360	0	15
	245	040	000		0	360	U	\$ 2,125
TASK 5 - CONCEPT PLANS								0
						W. San San		
ROW RECORDS RESEARCH	1	4	16	16		6		43
	245	840	2,720	1,760	0	360	0	\$ 5,925
EVICTING DOLDWAY OUT DA OFFICIAL		_						
EXISTING ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS	490	1,260	4	24	16	2	-	54
	490	1,260	680	2,640	1,440	120	0	\$ 6,630
TYPICAL SECTION ANALYSIS	2	6	8	2		2		20
	490	1,260	1,360	220	0	120	0	\$ 3,450
ACCESS MANAGEMENT	4	12	8	8	16	2		50
	980	2,520	1,360	880	1,440	120	0	\$ 7,300
ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS							TO SECURE AND ADDRESS OF	
ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	8 1,960	5,040	24 4,080	24 2,640	16 1,440	2 120	0	98
	1,900	5,040	4,080	2,040	1,440	120	0	\$ 15,280
CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT OF ALTERNATIVES	4	16	8	40	32	2		102
	980	3,360	1,360	4,400	2,880	120	0	\$ 13,100
RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVÉ CONCEPT PLANS	2	12	8	40	40	4		106
	490	2,520	1,360	4,400	3,600	240	0	\$ 12,610
OPINION OF PROBABLE COST	1	4	0	20		2		
S. INION OF PRODABLE COST	1 245	4 840	1,360	32 3,520	0	2 120	0	47 \$ 6.085
	240	340	1,500	3,320	U	120	U	\$ 6,085
TASK 6 - PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT	8	44	24	40		16		132
	1,960	9,240	4,080	4,400	0	960	0	\$ 20,640
						S. Selder J. P. Markett, J. Selder J	uzakina na na papa na sa	to a constant and a
FEES								
PERSONNEL HOURS	52	212	172	302	156	86	0	980
AMOUNT	\$ 12,740	\$ 44,520	\$ 29,240	\$ 33,220	\$ 14,040	\$ 5,160	\$0	\$ 138,920

